

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 5095	
10/782,621 02/18/2004		02/18/2004	Zhiguo Xiao	CCPIT-7		
1473	7590	12/04/2006		EXAMINER		
FISH & NE	AVE IP	GROUP	KOSLOW, CAROL M			
ROPES & G		P HE AMERICAS FL (	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEW YORK			1755			

DATE MAILED: 12/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary			10/782,621 XIAO ET AL.		•	
			Examiner	Art Unit		
			C. Melissa Koslow	1755		
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication app	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence	address	
WHICH - Extens after SI - If NO p - Failure Any rej	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N ions of time may be available under the provisions IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum st to reply within the set or extended period for reply ply received by the Office later than three months patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.13 munication. tatutory period w y will, by statute,	ATE OF THIS COMMU 36(a). In no event, however, may rill apply and will expire SIX (6) No cause the application to become	NICATION.  If a reply be timely filed  IONTHS from the mailing date of this  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>31 O</i>	ctober 2006	•		
· <u> </u>	•		action is non-final.			
<i>'</i> —	Since this application is in condition	•		atters, prosecution as to	the merits is	
•	closed in accordance with the pract		•	·		
Dispositio	n of Claims					
4)⊠ (	Claim(s) <u>1-8,12,13 and 16-19</u> is/are	pendina in	the application.			
	a) Of the above claim(s) is/a					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	Claim(s) <u>1-8, 12, 13 and 16-19</u> is/ar	e reiected.				
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•				
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) are subject to restric	ction and/or	election requirement.			
Applicatio	n Papers					
	he specification is objected to by th	e Examine	r			
	he drawing(s) filed on is/are			to by the Examiner		
-	Applicant may not request that any obje	•	•	•		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including					
	he oath or declaration is objected to		<u>-</u>	• • •	` ·	
Priority un	ider 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)□ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C	: 8 119(a)-(d) or (f)		
	All b) Some * c) None of:		p u	3		
•	. Certified copies of the priority	documents	s have been received.			
	Certified copies of the priority			Application No.		
	B. Copies of the certified copies			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ial Stage	
	application from the Internation	-	· ·		a. stage	
* Se	ee the attached detailed Office action		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ot received.		
			•			
Attachment(s	5)					
	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲 Intensie	w Summary (PTO-413)	-	
2) 🔲 Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)	Paper N	lo(s)/Mail Date		
	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date		5) ☐ Notice of 6) ☐ Other: _	of Informal Patent Application		
, apci i	10(0)/ III.dii Dato		o, La other.	·		

Art Unit: 1755

This action is in response to applicants' amendment of 31 October 2006. The amendments to the claims have overcome the 35 USC 112 rejection. Applicant's arguments with respect to the remaining art rejections have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claimed wording of "selected from the group consisting essentially of" is improper Markush terminology and thus the claim is indefinite. The correct Markush wording is "selected from the group consisting of".

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 2004-10409.

This reference teaches a luminous glass comprising a light-storage self-luminescent material having a size in the range of 0.1-1 mesh in a glass matrix. This glass is formed by melting a glass, adding the light-storage self-luminescent material to the melt and glass-blowing the molten glass at 800-1000°C, which overlaps the claimed range. Product claims with numerical ranges which overlap prior art ranges were held to have been obvious under 35 USC 103. *In re Wertheim* 191 USPQ 90 (CCPA 1976); *In re Malagari* 182 USPQ 549 (CCPA 1974); *In re Fields* 134 USPQ 242 (CCPA 1962); *In re Nehrenberg* 126 USPQ 383 (CCPA 1960). While the glass composition is not specified, it is clear and obvious that it can be any glass commonly produced by glass blowing and which can be colored with a light-storage self-

Art Unit: 1755

luminescent material, such as a conventional sodium-calcium-silicate glass. The taught mesh size range appears to correspond to a inch scale mesh. Thus the taught size range of 0.1-1 mesh appears means the luminescent material has a particle size in the range of 0.1-1 inch, or 2.54-25.4 mm, which overlaps the claimed range. While the reference does not teach the amount of luminescent material, it is clear that the amount is that effective to form a luminous glass. It is known in the art, as shown by the cited references, that this effective amount is 40 wt% or less, which overlaps the claimed range. The reference suggests the claimed glass and process.

Claims 2-5, 8 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 2004-10409 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of U.S. patents 5,839,718; 6,431,236; 6,617,781 and 6,071,432.

As stated above, JP 2004-10409 suggests the claimed glass. The light-storage luminescent material in JP 2004-10409 emits green light. If one of ordinary skill in the art wish for the glass to emit a different color, one would have found it obvious to replace the taught green light emitting material with any other color emitting light-storage luminescent materials, such as with the red, blue-green or blue light emitting phosphors taught U.S. patents 5,839,718; 6,617,781; 6,431,236 and 6,071,432 which have the formulas of the materials claimed in claims 2-5. The references suggest the claimed glass.

Claim 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 2004-10409 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of U.S. patent 5,424,006.

As stated above, JP 2004-10409 suggests the claimed glass. The light-storage luminescent material in JP 2004-10409 emits green light, but it is not defined. One of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to use the green light emitting aluminate light-storage

Art Unit: 1755

luminescent material of U.S. patent 5,424,006, which have the formulas of claims 6 and 7, since they have a long afterglow, are more chemically stable and have higher photoresistance. The references suggest the claimed glass.

Applicants' arguments with respect to the taught mesh size have been considered but are not convincing. Applicants argues that 0.1 mesh cannot be equivalent to 0.1 inch since mesh sizes of less than 0.25 inch are given mesh numbers of 31/2 and whole numbers greater than 31/2 and that a hole size of 0.1 inch is 10 mesh. This is incorrect since the Sigma-Aldrich table clearly teaches a hole size of about 0.1 inch is equivalent to a mesh size of 7, which is 0.111 inch. Applicants then argue that because of what they stated above 0.1-1 mesh must be 1-10 inches but does not explain why. Based on the teaching on the Sigma-Aldrich table, the taught range of 0.25-1 mesh is 0.25-1 inch. Thus clearly rebut applicants' argued range of 1-10 inches and applicants have not explained why one of ordinary skill in the art would not assume the taught size of 0.1 to less than 0.25 mesh does not correspond to 0.1 to less than 0.25 inches, but would have the argued size of greater than 4 up to 10 inches. It should be noted that if applicants' arguments are correct and given the teachings in the supplied mesh size table, the taught range is 0.25-10 inches, which corresponds to 6.35-254 mm, which overlaps the claimed range. The rejection is maintained.

Claims 1, 6-8, 12, 13, and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 03/057796 in view of U.S. patent 6,197,712 and JP 2004-10409.

U.S. patent 7,074,345 is the national stage application, and thus translation for, WO 03/057796.

Art Unit: 1755

WO 03/057796 teaches a light storage self-luminescent glass comprising 5-93 wt% of light storage self-luminescent particles, such as commercially available strontium aluminates and zinc sulfides, where the particles have a particle size in the range of 0.1-2 mm (col. 4, lines 34-40 and col. 5, lines 7-13 and lines 65-66). This particle size range and amount overlaps the claimed ranges. Commercially available strontium aluminate light storage self-luminescent particles or phosphors are known to have the formula of claims 6-8. WO 03/057796 teaches producing the glass by doping the molten glass, which must have been formed and cooled, with the particles. This is the process of claims 13 and 19. While WO 03/057796 does not teaches the composition of the glass, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to use any glass composition that is known to be used to form light storage self-luminescent glass, such as a conventional sodium-calcium-silicate glass, as taught by U.S. patent 6,197,712.

WO 03/057796 also does not give any specific processing conduction for producing the suggested glass. U.S. patent 6,197,712 and JP 2004-10409 teaches such glasses are produced by heating and melting the formed and cooled glass, doping the molten glass with the particles and then forming the molten glass at about 800 to about 1093°C, which overlaps the claimed range. Therefore one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to produce the glass of WO 03/057796 by the taught mixing step and then shaping the molten glass by the methods of U.S. patent 6,197,712 and JP 2004-10409. The references suggest the claimed glass and process.

Claims 2-5 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 03/057796 in view of U.S. patent 6,197,712 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of U.S. patents 5,839,718; 6,431,236; 6,617,781 and 6,071,432.

Art Unit: 1755

As stated above, WO 03/057796 in view of U.S. patent 6,197,712 suggests the claimed glass. The light-storage luminescent material in WO 03/057796 in view of U.S. patent 6,197,712 emits green light. If one of ordinary skill in the art wish for the glass to emit a different color, one would have found it obvious to replace the taught green light emitting material with any other color emitting light-storage luminescent materials, such as with the red, blue-green or blue light emitting phosphors taught U.S. patents 5,839,718; 6,617,781; 6,431,236 and 6,071,432 which have the formulas of the materials claimed in claims 2-5. The references suggest the claimed glass.

U.S. patent application publication 2006/0214134 is cited as of interest since it claims a light storage self-luminescent glass comprising 0.1-5 wt% of light storage self-luminescent particles having a particle size in the range of 0.05-5 mm, where the glass is selected from the group consisting of soda-lime silicon glass, aluminate glass and borate glass. The reference teaches the particles can have the formula of claims 5-8 and 16.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melissa Koslow whose telephone number is (571) 272-1371. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo, can be reached at (571) 272-1233.

The fax number for all official communications is (571) 273-8300.

Art Unit: 1755

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

cmk December 1, 2006 C. Melissa Koslow Primary Examiner Tech. Center 1700